



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,813	02/27/2004	David W. Proctor	MSFT-2872/306077.02	7349
41505	7590	07/27/2007	EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)			KUMAR, ANIL N	
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR				
2929 ARCH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			2174	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/27/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

60

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/788,813	PROCTOR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anil N. Kumar	2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 Feb 2004</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the original filing of December 15th, 2003. Claims (1-49) are pending and have been considered below.

Claim Objections

Claims 12, 23, 32 and 33 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Ending the claim with "... of claim #."

Claim 33 is objected to because of the following informalities: "...method of claim 1". Note Claim 1 is not a method. Examiner assumes that claim 33 is dependent of the independent claim 24.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 11-20, 23-25, 28-39 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Combs et al. (US 2002/0021289 A1).

Claims 1, 13, 24, 34, 36 and 38: Combs et al. disclose, a method, device and a medium for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control (Fig. 2A) comprising:

- a touchpad control substantially in the shape of an arc (i.e. made-up of arcs, Fig. 2A) or a curved cavity (i.e. ... pad surface 110; that is, the default template graphic image is permanently written, printed, painted, carved... paragraph [0081] and Fig. 2F);
- receiving input to the touchpad control (i.e. ...the input device 18 comprises a touchpad 19.... paragraph [0080]);
- determining a location on the touchpad control and a corresponding degree of functionality associated with the location (i.e. ... The coordinate sensor 122... and logic to translate the location of that switch to a meaningful value relative to the pad surface 110... paragraph [0101] and Fig. 2);
- and outputting at least one of at least one function call and at least one signal based upon the location and degree of functionality associated with the location (i.e. ... the touchpad reports to the attached computer system the coordinates of the location touched. In response, the computer performs the function... paragraph [0006]);

- a computer readable medium including computer executable modules (i.e.

The data processing unit receives controlling software from a read only memory (ROM) that is usually packaged in the form of a cartridge...
paragraph [0003].

Claims 2 and 14: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claims 1 and 13, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, wherein the arc is of substantially uniform width (Fig. 2A).

Claims 3 and 15: Combs et al. disclose a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claims 2 and 14, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, wherein the touchpad control is substantially in the shape of a quarter circle (Fig. 2A).

Claims 4 and 16: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claims 1 and 13, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, wherein the arc is at least one of (A) thinner than at least one end of the arc at the middle of the arc and (B) thicker than at least one end of the arc at the middle of the arc and the arc includes at least one of (C) a curved end and (D) a substantially straight end (Fig. 2A).

Claims 5-6, 17-18, 28-29 and 42: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claims 1, 13, 24 and 38, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, wherein the touchpad control includes a first region for first touchpad input for a first function and a second region for second touchpad input for a second function, different from said first function, wherein said first region and said second region are divided substantially about the middle of the arc or about orthogonal center (i.e. ... virtually any pattern, or combination of patterns and symbols... an each be mapped to a region of the touchpad... paragraph [0081] and Fig. 2A).

Claims 7-8, 19-20 and 30-31: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claims 6, 18 and 29, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, wherein said first set of functionality provides a set of functionality opposite to said second set of functionality and set of functionality includes varying degrees of functionality for at least one of Escape, Start, Options, More, Less OK, Back, Forward, Play, Pause, Up, Down, Fast Forward, Reverse, Skip Forward, Skip Backwards, Menu, Left, Right, Mute, Volume Up, Volume Down, Raise Light and Lower Light functionalities (i.e. ... following functions can be supported by the default template graphic design inscribed in or on the pad surface 110: "enter," "exit,"

"pause," "previous," "next," and arrow keys (up, down, left, and right)...
paragraph [0082] and Fig. 2A).

Claim 11: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claim 1, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, wherein said arc is substantially in the form of a curved cavity in the surface of a device including the user interface control (i.e. ... pad surface 110; that is, the default template graphic image is permanently written, printed, painted, carved... paragraph [0081 and Fig. 2F]).

Claims 12 and 23: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claims 1 and 13, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, any of a portable media player, a remote control for a computing device, a computing device, a swappable component of a computing device and a component for augmenting a computing device (i.e. ... details of the video digital to analog converter used in the system of the present invention [0017] and Fig. 1C).

Claims 25 and 39: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claims 1 and 13, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, performing the functionality to the appropriate degree in accordance with said at least one of at least one function

call and at least one signal (i.e. ... the touchpad reports to the attached computer system the coordinates of the location touched. In response, the computer performs the function... paragraph [0006]).

Claim 32: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claim 24, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, a computer readable medium including computer executable modules (i.e. ... The data processing unit receives controlling software from a read only memory (ROM) that is usually packaged in the form of a cartridge... paragraph [0003]).

Claim 33: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claim 24, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, a computing device comprising means for performing the methods (i.e. ... computer system comprising a central processing unit (CPU)... Abstract).

Claims 35 and 37: Combs et al. disclose, a medium and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claims 34 and 36, above. Furthermore Combs et al. disclose, a touchpad control substantially in the shape of an arc (i.e. made-up of arcs, Fig. 2A) or a curved cavity (i.e. ... pad

surface 110; that is, the default template graphic image is permanently written, printed, painted, carved... paragraph [0081] and Fig. 2F);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-10, 21-22, 26-27 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Combs et al. (US 2002/0021289 A1) in view of Westerman et al. (US 2002/0015024 A1).

Claims 9-10, 21-22, 26-27 and 40-41: Combs et al. disclose, a method and device for interacting with a user interface control including a touchpad control as in Claims 6, 18, 24 and 38, above, but does not disclose, wherein the degree of functionality is determined based upon a distance of an input in said first region of control from the centerline of the touch pad arc; is determined based upon at least one of (A) a velocity and (B) an acceleration associated with an, input to the user interface control calculated from recent historical interaction with the user interface control. However, Westerman et al. discloses methods to calculate

distance, velocity and accelerations based on input from a touchpad (i.e. ... measuring, storing and transmitting to a computing device two or more representative tangential velocities during touch device manipulation... paragraph [0045]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time to provide a methods, as taught by Westerman et al., to determine the direction, velocity, acceleration from a touchpad, in Combs et al. One would be motivated to provide means to determine various aspects of input from a touchpad, if one wants to provide a wide range of functionality.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Anderson (US 6,424,338 B1) disclose a Speed zone touchpad
 - b. Bang et al. (US 5956019 A1) disclose a Touch-pad cursor control device
 - c. Bertram et al. (US 5875311) disclose a Computer system with touchpad support in operating system
 - d. Crawford (US 20020140668 A1) discloses a Thumb actuated x-y input device
 - e. Georges et al. (US 20040089138 A1) disclose a Systems and methods for creating, modifying, interacting with and playing musical compositions
 - f. Jiang (US 20010033268 A1) disclose a Handheld ergonomic mouse

- g. Perttunen (US 6359635 B1) disclose a Methods, articles and apparatus for visibly representing information and for providing an input interface
- h. Zadesky et al. (US 20030076306 A1) disclose a Touch pad handheld device

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil N. Kumar whose telephone number is (571) 270-1693. The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesdays and alternate Mon-Tue and Thu-Fri EST (Alternate Mon-Tue and Thu-Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ANK

6/25/2007

Kristine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100